Comprehensive assessment of cavernosography with 320-row dynamic volume CT versus conventional cavernosography in erectile dysfunction patients caused by venous leakage
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Synopsis

This study aims to investigate and compare the diagnostic and prognostic value of cavernosography with 320-row dynamic volume computed tomography (DVCT) versus conventional cavernosography in men with erectile dysfunction (ED) caused by venous leakage. A total of 174 patients diagnosed with ED were enrolled and received cavernosography with 320-row DVCT (DVCT group) and conventional cavernosography scans (control group), respectively. The diagnosis, complications and prognosis of patients were evaluated. The DVCT group provided higher-resolution images with less processing and testing time, as well as lowered does radiological agent and contrast agent compared to the control group. In the DVCT group, 89 patients who were diagnosed with venous ED had six various venous leakage, namely superficial venous leakage, profundus venous leakage, the mixed type, cavernosal venous leakage, crural venous leakage and also venous leakage between the penis and urethra cavernosum, respectively 9, 21, 32, 6, 18 and 3 cases. Similarly, 74 patients out of the 81 who suffer from venous ED were classified to have superficial venous leakage (11), profundus venous leakage (14), the mixed type venous leakage (26), and middle venous leakage (23). Six out of 25 patients in the DVCT group, had improvements in ED while the remaining 19 achieved full erectile function recovery with no penile fibrosis and erectile pain. Cavernosography with 320-row DVCT is a reliable system that can be used diagnose ED caused by venous leakage. This is especially useful in accurately determining the type of venous and allows for a better prognosis and direction of treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is been defined as the recurrent or consistent inability to generate or maintain a penile erection of sufficient rigidity for sexual intercourse [1]. It is a prevalent medical condition that affects the life quality in all male age groups. Current statistics show that it has affected 152 million males in 1995 and is projected to affect 322 million males globally by the year 2025 [2]. Aging is one main risk factor contributing to the onset of ED, whereby data shows a 20-40% occurrence rate in men who are ages 60 to 90 years old and 50-100% in men who are between 70 to 80 years old [3]. Other risk factors such as depression, diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, atherosclerosis, high cholesterol, high blood pressure, obesity and alcoholism are also in tight association with ED incidence, whereby vascular disease is found to be the highest contributing factor [4]. Causes of vasculogenic ED include arterial insufficiency (AI, 30%), mixed vascular etiology (10%) and veno-occlusive dysfunction (VOD, 15%) and VOD is a condition that occurs due to an inability to trap blood in the tunica albuginea of the corpora which results in insufficient compression of the subtunical venules in the phase of full erection [5].

VOD, previously known as venous insufficiency or venous leakage, is a common occurrence of ED patients as well as patients who suffer from ED caused by penile arterial incompetence [6]. Cavernosography or cavernosometry has been adapted to evaluate VOD among male patients with a proposed organic ED [7]. Since the 1970s corpus cavernosography of the penis has been used to help elucidate the penile venous anatomy [8]. However, conventional cavernosography does not always reveal precise penile veins of interest because due to overlapping by other veins, bone or cavernous body. The obstruction of visualization of these structures also makes it difficult to show the origin of the veins through radioscopy [9]. With new advancements made in medical imaging technology, like computed tomography (CT) and also magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), more knowledge and information about the corpus cavernosum has been obtained [10]. Currently, improvements of the CT scan from a 64 slice to a 320 slice provides us with a higher resolution and much more detailed structure images, allowing for superior visualization of the
internal penile tissue [11]. Furthermore a current diagnostic tool, DVCT, is characterized by 320 slice detectors with 350 ms gantry rotation, a 5-mm thickness as well as a wide coverage range of 16 cm in the z-axis, and it can cover the whole heart within one cardiac cycle [12]. Hence, our purpose of this study is to comprehensively assess DVCT cavernosography and conventional cavernosography for men with ED caused by venous leakage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical statement**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of Ningbo First Hospital. Written informed consents were obtained from all patients or their families.

**Study subjects**

From June, 2014 to June 2015, 174 patients diagnosed with ED in Ningbo First Hospital were recruited in our study, among which 93 patients received DVCT cavernosography and 81 patients received conventional cavernosography. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients who were preliminarily confirmed with ED by detailed inquiry of disease history, physical examination and laboratory tests before grouping; (2) patients who showed no erection or ED three audio visual sexual stimulations (AVSS) and nocturnal penile tumescence; (3) velocity of cavernosal artery was measured by duplex ultrasonography prior to admission. The exclusion criteria included: (1) patients who suffered from psychogenic ED, neurogenic ED and endocrine ED; (2) those who suffer from disease- and drug-induced ED; (3) external arterial ED; (4) allergies to contrast medium; (5) patients who suffer from liver and chronic kidney dysfunction.

**Detection of blood biochemical indexes**

A week before undergoing cavernosography, the blood biochemical indexes of patients were detected on an empty stomach in the morning. These biochemical indexes include; hemoglobin A1c
(HbA1c), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high density lipoprotein (HDL), and low density lipoprotein (LDL).

**Cavernosography with 320-row DVCT**
An iodine allergy test was performed for all patient with a vein needle dwelling the same day on one upper limb before being scanned by a 320-row DVCT. Patients were told to lie down, while the nurses completed the intravenous infusion and connected the CT scanner. The patients’ perineal positions were sterilized with 2% povidone-iodine and followed by injection of 30 mg of papaverine using a 5-gauge scalp needle in the middle of corpora cavernosum. This was done to induce an erection with a 3-5 minute compression on the puncture point. After 5 minutes, papaverine was increased to 60 mg for the patients who were not satisfied with their erection conditions. The venous accesses were unblocked immediately after the full erection was observed. Patients were injected with 80 mL iobitridol (350 mgI/mL, Aulnay-sous-Bois) at the speed of 5 mL/sec mixed with 20 mL of normal saline for washing. During the full erection, cavernosography with 320-row DVCT was performed for four times at two second intervals during the arterial phase. After sterilization of the puncture point, diluted iohexol (ratio of 1: 1, 20 mL–60 mL) was injected into the erect cavernosa at the speed of 2-4 mL/sec using a 7-gauge scalp needle to obtain another erection. At the same time, cavernosography with a 320-row DVCT (Aquilion One, Toshiba America Medical Systems, CA, USA) was performed under the same conditions. The scanning parameters were set up as follows: field of view, 160 mm; slice thickness, 0.5 mm; scan speed, 0.75 s/rot; voltage of scanning tube, 80 kV; tube current, 150-310 mA.

**Image processing with 4D digital subtraction angiography (4D-DSA)**
A total of 3200 images with 0.5 mm slice thickness were produced in each scan (each volumetric data containing 320 images). Computer software 4D-DSA (Toshiba Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used to reconstruct dynamic 3-dimensional angiograph. Highest-resolution images of lesion were
obtained by means of pseudo color, rotation at any angle, local amplification, shielding and other techniques.

**Conventional cavernosography examination**

An iodine allergy test was performed before each cavernosography performed on the patient. Patients were first asked to lie in a dorsal decubitus position, and have their intestines and stomach checked and their perineal positions were sterilized and paved with sterile sheet. Penile prosthesis (0.2 mL), containing papaverine, phentolamine and prostaglandin E was injected into the corpora cavernosa, with local compression for 1-2 minutes. An elastic band was initially ligated at the penile base followed by a manipulation of the penis to distribute the dosage uniformly around entire length of the corpora cavernosa in order to achieve and maintain full erection. A 9 - Gauge needle containing 30% meglumine diatrizoate (30-60 mL) was injected at the rate of 30 mL/min into the penile base at 45 degrees oblique, 0.8 cm below the coronary sulcus of the corpora cavernosa. Conventional cavernosography was performed at 30, 60, 90, 120, and 900 seconds, respectively, after the contrast medium was injected. Upon cavernosography completion, needles were pulled out and compression was performed for 1-2 minutes. Observation and data recording lasted for at least five minutes, during which leakage of contrast medium and hematoma should be prevented.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS 21.0 software (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The count data were expressed as a percentage. An $\chi^2$ test was used to compare data between different groups. Measurement data were displayed as mean ± standard deviation. For a comparison between two groups, independent sample $t$ test was performed. Values of $P < 0.05$ were considered as statistically significant. The whole experiment was repeated three times under the same condition in order to obtain an average value.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of patients between the DVCT and control groups

In this investigation 81 patients (age ranges between 21 to 59 years old with a mean age of 29.40 ± 2.10 years and duration of ED ranging from 7 months to 11 years) received conventional cavernosography and 93 patients (age ranging between 19 to 56 years old with a mean age of 29.70 ± 2.30 years and ED course ranging from 6 months to 8 years) received cavernosography with 320-row DVCT. There were no significant differences in age, course of disease, and history of marriage, smoking and drinking between the two groups (all $P > 0.05$) (Table 1).

Comparison of the basic features of cavernosography with 320-row DVCT and conventional cavernosography

Compared to control groups, the DVCT group provided higher-resolution images with less time for processing and type detection, as well as lesser doses of radiological agent and contrast agent administered to the patients (all $P < 0.05$), showing as the Table 2.

Images for typical features of ED in the DVCT and control groups

Images produced by cavernosography with 320-row DVCT shows smooth, symmetrical, high-density spindle shaped corpora cavernosa, and strip-like low-density shadows in the space of corpora cavernosa in the DVCT group (Figure 1-A/B). This is a visual confirmation the 93 patients were indeed suffering from ED. Images produced by conventional cavernosography shows symmetrical smooth-edge, high-density images in corpora cavernosa, and strip low-density shadows in the interval of corpora cavernosa were observed in the control group (Figure 1-C/D), This is also a confirmatory sign that these 81 patients also suffer from ED.

Venous leakage of corpora cavernosa in patients scanned by cavernosography with 320-row DVCT
Cavernosography with 320-row DVCT displays no venous drainage in four out of the 93 patients, indicating that these cases were of non-venous ED etiology. The rest of the patients showed different venous leakages, including 9 cases (10.1%) of superficial venous leakage (Figure 2-A). These patients exhibited abnormal structures the superficial dorsal penile vein that traced all the way to the superficial external pudendal vein, superficial femoral vein and other branches of the great saphenous vein. We found 21 cases (23.6%) of a profundus venous leakage etiology (Figure 2-B), among whom superficial dorsal veins were displayed with DVCT cavernosography dynamically tracing to the prostatic venous plexus, bladder venous plexus and internal iliac vein, which all belong to common types of single venous leakages. 18 patients (20.2%) presented with crural venous leakage (Figure 2-C), whereby their penile veins were abnormally displayed that flowed into the internal jugular vein; 6 patients (6.7%) of cavernosal venous leakage (Figure 2-D); 3 patients (3.4%) of venous leakage between the penis and urethra cavernosum (Figure 2-E), which is the rare type; the remaining 32 patients (36.0%) were of mixed venous leakage, among whom a mix of more than 2 kinds of venous leakages were detected (Figure 2-F).

Venous leakage of corpora cavernosa scanned by conventional cavernosography

Conventional cavernosography showed no cavernous bodies in seven patients, suggesting that that ED were caused by psychogenic ED. Based on the X-ray findings, venous ED was classified into 4 types. 11 patients (14.9%) were classified in type I—superficial venous leakage. This type of venous leakage is classified by the development of external jugular veins, the great saphenous vein, femoral vein and external iliac vein were observed (Figure 3-A). 23 (31.1%) exhibited type II—middle venous leakage, among whom developed corpora spongiosum, glans penis (Figure 3-B), prostatic venous plexus, prostatic venous plexus, inferior vena cava, internal iliac vein (Figure 3-C) and deep dorsal vein of penis. Cavernosa was slightly exposed, accompanied by corpora spongiosum and deep dorsal vein of penis in type II middle venous leakage. A total of 14 (18.9%) were of type III—profundal venous leakage, in which development of deep veins of penis and internal jugular
veins were observed (Figure 3-D). The remaining 26 patients (35.1%) belonged to in type IV--mixed leakage, which was demonstrated as two or three characteristics of the images of type I, II and III (Figure 3-E/F).

**Comparison of adverse reactions of patients in the DVCT and control groups**

Cavernosography with 320-row DVCT scans, the DVCT group had shown that three cases suffered from symptoms of dizziness, palpitation, sweating and hot flushes after injection of papaverine (30 mg). However, these symptoms were disappeared and rested for after 5 minutes. In contrast, eight cases showed priapism, which was relieved by drainage of cavernous sinus whereas 23 cases exhibited penile subcutaneous congestion. In the control group, we recorded five cases of foreskin hematoma, three cases of contrast agent leakage to the skin and 5 cases with the side effects after vasoactive drugs. Symptoms after vasoactive drug administration included three cases of dizziness and palpitation and two cases of priapism. All of the adverse reactions produced were dealt with by proper treatment. Our results showed that there was significant difference in the penile subcutaneous congestion and foreskin hematoma between the DVCT and control groups ($P < 0.05$), which might be resulted from loose or short-time local compression. No other significant differences in other adverse reactions were detected between the two groups ($P > 0.05$), as demonstrated in the Table 3.

**Result of penile Operations after cavernosography with 320-row DVCT and conventional cavernosography**

In the DVCT group, 31 patients with venous leakage received various operations and surgical procedures. These procedures included ligations of deep dorsal penile vein (6 patients), embedding of deep dorsal penile vein and crural vein ligations (12 patients) and ligations of penile crural vein (13 patients). In the control group, 15 ED underwent operations including ligations of deep dorsal penile vein (3 patients), embedding of deep dorsal penile vein and crural vein ligation (7 patients)
and penile crural vein ligations (5 patients), as revealed in the Table 4.

**Follow-up**

In the DVCT group, 25 out of 31 cases received follow up studies. Among these patients, six of them showed improvements in erection and whereas 19 patients fully recovered from ED. patients who did received operations, were treated with either phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor (PDE5) or vacuum negative pressure pump therapy according to their own choice and disease severity. Some patients additional conducted cavernosography with 320-row DVCT for evaluate their current disabilities. Positive observation was implemented according to each patient’s will. In the postoperative follow-up of patients in the control group, no penile fibrosis or erectile pain were observed.

**DISCUSSION**

ED is a chronic disorder that is increasingly prevalent with age in males [13]. It is defined as the inability to attain and maintain an erection during satisfactory sexual intercourse. Various etiological factors attributing to ED include hormonal, psychological, arterial, neurologic, or cavernosal impairment [14]. In this present study, we utilized the newly developed cavernosography with 320-row DVCT to compare findings with those obtained by conventional cavernosography. We do this to comprehensively assess both of the cavernosography as tools to help diagnose venous ED. Our findings revealed that DVCT cavernosography is promising diagnostic tool in the diagnosis of patients with venous ED.

In this study, 89 out of 93 patients in the DVCT suffered from venous leakages at various sites we could clearly detect. We also found five types of venous leakages in 74 out of the 81 patients in the control group. One study revealed that three-dimensional (3D) CT cavernosography provides high-resolution images for the venous drainage from any angle and clearly shows anatomical structures such as drainage veins, including dorsal veins, cavernous veins, crural veins as well as
other emissary veins [9]. Moreover, a novel venous leakage classification using multi-detector (MDCT) cavernography revealed the leakage commonly seen in the deep dorsal vein and superficial vein [15]. Lue et al. introduced ultrasonography as a method of assessment for vasculogenic impotence in 1985 and prior studies has proved ultrasound a to be a an indispensable tool in the vascular differentiation processes of ED [16, 17]. In addition to ultrasounds, cavernosography has also been used by urologists to produce some of the earliest images taken of erect corpus cavernosum [10]. However, most venous vasculature are covered in penile venous distribution when observed under ultrasonography, while conventional pharmaco-cavernosography only reveals a small portion of the leaky deep dorsal vein and fails to display either the para-arterial veins (PAVs) or the cavernosal veins (CVs) [8]. Dynamic infusion cavernosometry or cavernosography was used to diagnose corporal veno-occlusive dysfunction (CVOD) in a study performed on aged rats, but it failed to reveal the histological changes related to CVOD, including an increase in corporal fibrosis and a decrease in corporal smooth muscle cells [18]. A comparative study prospectively study the accuracy and radiation dose of invasive coronary angiography (ICA) and DVCT for the coronary artery disease (CAD), with the result showed the effective radiation dose by DVCT declined significantly than that of ICA [12] A detection of coronary artery diseases scanned by a 320-detector row DVCT, demonstrated that DVCT produced detailed images and high accuracy [12]. Besides, the application of multi-segment reconstruction can be more feasible with the DVCT employment [19]. Thus, we reached a conclusion that cavernosography with 320-row DVCT might be an alternative method for DVCT detection as it provides more information regarding various venous leak and subtypes in accordance with the studies above.

Considerable differences were seen in the diagnosis of venous leak sites between the control and DVCT groups. The DVCT group provided us with higher-resolution images with less processing time, as well as lesser required doses of radiological and contrast agent compared with the control group. CT perfusion imaging along with the rest and adenosine stress 320-row CT is identified as an accurate method in detecting myocardial ischemia cased by obstructive
atherosclerosis as compared with single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) [20]. Hein et al. used the large-area coverage of the 320-detector row scanner to conduct a triple rule-out protocol in 30 patients, which hold the promise in conducting a rapid high quality "triple rule-out" test with no high contrast load, four-dimensional CT subtraction angiography and enhanced myocardial perfusion imaging comparing with those when the conventional scans were used [21]. Another study revealed that the effective dose was reduced as much as 91% in volume scanning relative to conventional scanning [22]. The 320-detector row scanner is equipped with a standard temporal resolution of nearly 175 ms, which is 50% shorter than the gantry rotation time, and it remains longer than 33 ms of catheter coronary angiography operating at speed of 30 frames/s, aiming to achieve images of excellent quality [21]. The result of a previous study of scans on the coronary arteries also showed that a 320-detector row scanner developed high-resolution images in almost 90% of coronary artery segments with low heart rates was reduced [19]. This is very useful in clinical settings to help diagnose and treat patients.

Our investigation showed that the cavernosography with 320-row DVCT is a promising tool in clinical application. However, there are some problems concerning in cavernosography with 320-row DVCT compared with conventional one or MRI, including the radiation exposed to patient, movement of the bed in the process of scanning and difficulty in examining the patient [9]. Our study is among the few that evaluates the diagnostic abilities between the cavernosography with 320-row DVCT and conventional cavernosography. We hope that it provides further evidence in the advantages of DVCT cavernosography for the examining ED in patients pertaining to venous leakage etiology.
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Legends

**Figure 1** Image of corpora cavernosum detected by the cavernosography with 320-row DVCT compared to an image taken by conventional cavernosography

Note: A, cavernosography with 320-row DVCT image of regular cavernosa by; B, cavernosography with 320-row DVCT image of cavernosal venous leakage by; C, Conventional cavernosonography image taken of the regular cavernosa; D, conventional cavernosa image of the cavernosal venous leakage; DVCT, dynamic volume computed tomography.

**Figure 2** Venous leakage of corpora cavernosa scanned by cavernosography with 320-row DVCT

Note: A, superficial venous leakage; B, profundus venous leakage; C, crural venous leakage; D, cavernosal venous leakage; E, venous leakage between the penis and urethra cavernosum; F, mixed venous leakage; DVCT, dynamic volume computed tomography.

**Figure 3** Venous leakage of corpora cavernosa scanned by conventional cavernosography

Note: A, type I: superficial venous leakage (imaging of left external iliac vein); B, type II: middle venous leakage (imaging of corpora cavernosum urethrae and glans penis); C, type II: middle venous leakage (imaging of right internal iliac vein); D, type III: profundus venous leakage (imaging of deep veins of penis and internal jugular vein); E, type IV: mixed leakage (imaging of left internal iliac vein, deep veins of penis and internal jugular vein); F, type IV: mixed leakage (imaging of left internal iliac vein, glans penis, deep veins of penis and internal jugular vein); DVCT, dynamic volume computed tomography.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Control group (n = 81)</th>
<th>DVCT group (n = 93)</th>
<th>t/χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>29.40 ± 2.10</td>
<td>29.70 ± 2.30</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course of disease</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.573</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage history (Y/N)</td>
<td>45/36</td>
<td>58/35</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history (Y/N)</td>
<td>43/38</td>
<td>52/41</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking history (Y/N)</td>
<td>59/22</td>
<td>66/27</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (Y/N)</td>
<td>42/39</td>
<td>40/53</td>
<td>1.427</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>7.62 ± 1.42</td>
<td>7.55 ± 1.35</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>8.61 ± 0.23</td>
<td>8.71 ± 0.51</td>
<td>1.626</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.85 ± 0.64</td>
<td>5.01 ± 0.78</td>
<td>1.466</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.559</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.756</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.33</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.45</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DVCT, dynamic volume computed tomography; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; TC, total cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; Y, yes; N, no.
Table 2 Comparison of general features of cavernosography with 320-row DVCT and conventional cavernosography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Conventional group (n = 81)</th>
<th>DVCT group (n = 93)</th>
<th>t/χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Image processing time (min)</td>
<td>7.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>12.810</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation dose (mSv)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>9.486</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing time (s)</td>
<td>577 ± 148</td>
<td>481 ± 156</td>
<td>4.147</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast dose (ml)</td>
<td>80.6 ± 11.5</td>
<td>66.5 ± 10.1</td>
<td>11.570</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DVCT cavernosography, cavernosography with 320-row dynamic volume CT.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse reaction</th>
<th>Control group (n = 81)</th>
<th>DVCT group (n = 93)</th>
<th>t / χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness, palpitation, sweating and hot flushes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priapism</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile subcutaneous congestion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreskin hematoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast agent leakage to the skin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.338</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DVCT, dynamic volume computed tomography.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical treatment</th>
<th>Control group (n = 81)</th>
<th>DVCT group (n = 93)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical treatment</td>
<td>15 (18.52%)</td>
<td>31 (33.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligations of deep dorsal penile vein</td>
<td>3 (3.70%)</td>
<td>6 (6.45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedding of deep dorsal penile vein and crural vein ligations</td>
<td>7 (8.64%)</td>
<td>12 (12.90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligations of penile crural vein</td>
<td>5 (6.17%)</td>
<td>13 (13.98%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DVCT, dynamic volume computed tomography.