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The prognostic values of signal transducers activators of transcription family in ovarian cancer
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Signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT), a family of latent cytoplasmic transcription factors, are composed of seven identified members (STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5a, STAT5b, STAT6). STATs are associated with several biological processes such as cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis in various cancer types. In addition, the STAT family has been well studied as a prognostic predictor for a considerable number of solid tumors. However, the prognostic value of the STAT family in ovarian cancer patients remains unclear. In our present study, we intend to access the prognostic roles of the STAT family in ovarian carcinoma through the ‘Kaplan–Meier plotter’ (KM plotter) online database, which collected gene expression data and survival information (overall survival (OS)) from a total of 1582 ovarian cancer patients. Our results show that high mRNA expression of STAT1, STAT4, STAT5a, STAT5b, and STAT6, are correlated to a better OS of ovarian cancer patients, especially the high level of STAT1 and STAT4 are significantly related to a favorable OS for serous ovarian cancer patients. We further accessed the prognostic roles of individual STATs in other clinicopathological features, such as pathological grades, clinical stages, and TP53 mutation, and found that these genes indicate a favorable prognosis especially for late stage, poor differentiation, and TP53 mutated ovarian cancer patients. In conclusion, these results suggest that the STAT family plays a significant prognostic role in ovarian carcinoma and individual STATs, except STAT2 and STAT3, may act as favorable prognostic markers in ovarian cancer.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the fifth most lethal malignancy amongst women diagnosed with cancers in the United States with approximately 14240 cancer-related deaths from this disease annually [1]. Combination of surgery and chemotherapy is the standard treatment for the advanced stage in ovarian cancer [2]. Despite considerable efforts to improve early detection and advances in chemotherapy, the 5-year survival rate remains only 30% [3]. Identification of favorable prognostic biomarkers for ovarian cancer, in some ways, could improve clinical outcomes of these patients.

Signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT), a family of latent cytoplasmic transcription factors, is composed of seven identified members (STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5a, STAT5b, STAT6) [4]. These genes are activated in response to cytokines, hormones, and growth factors [4-6]. Ligand-dependent activation of STATs, which is a transient process lasting from minutes to hours, plays important roles in regulating cell proliferation, cellular transformation, tumor formation, and immune responses and is also involved in tumorigenesis, metastasis, and angiogenesis [4-7]. Indeed, previous studies have shown that STATs were constitutively activated in ovarian cancer and played a pivotal role in oncogenesis of this disease [8,9].

Since STAT family plays an important role in the initiation and development of cancers, these genes have been well studied as a prognostic predictor for a considerable number of solid tumors [10-22]. However, the prognostic value of the STAT family in ovarian cancer patients is limited and the results remain
controversial [23–26]. In the present study, we first comprehensively explored the prognostic significance of seven STAT genes in patients with ovarian carcinoma by using the Kaplan–Meier plotter (KM plotter).

**Materials and methods**

**KM plotter database**

An online database (http://kmplot.com/analysis/) [27] was used to investigate the association between individual STAT mRNA levels and overall survival (OS) of ovarian cancer patients. Currently, this database is capable of assessing the effect of 54675 genes of survival in breast cancer [27], ovarian cancer [28], lung cancer [29], as well as gastric cancer data. In this database, gene expression data and OS information of 1582 ovarian cancer patients were downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus, Cancer Biomedical Informatics Grid, and The Cancer Genome Atlas cancer datasets [29]. Additionally, they offered clinical data, such as histology, grade, stage, TP53 mutation status, and treatment of ovarian cancer patients.

In simpler terms, seven STAT members (STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5a, STAT5b, and STAT6) were entered into the database (http://kmplot.com/analysis/index.php?p=service&cancer=ovar) to get Kaplan–Meier survival plots. The expression cut-off points of individual STAT genes were determined according to their median mRNA levels amongst the selected ovarian cancer samples. STAT expression status were finally classified into ‘low’ and ‘high’ according to the comparisons between expression values with established cutoffs. The two patient cohorts were compared with a Kaplan–Meier survival plot, and then hazard ratio (HR), 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and log-rank P were determined and presented on internet.

**Results**

In our present study, all the seven STAT members’ Kaplan–Meier survival information can be determined on kmplot.com. We initially evaluated the prognostic value of STAT1 in the database. Affymetrix IDs for STAT1: 200887_s_at. OS curves were plotted for all the ovarian cancer patients (n=1582) (Figure 1A), for serous cancer patients (n=1138) (Figure 1B), and for endometrioid cancer patients (n=36) (Figure 1C). High mRNA expression of STAT1 was related to a better OS in all the ovarian cancer patients, HR =0.84 (0.71–0.98), P=0.025, especially for serous ovarian cancer patients, HR =0.81 (0.68–0.95), P=0.0093, but not in endometrioid cancer patients, HR =300485201.86 (0–Inf), P=0.17.

We then evaluated the prognostic significance of STAT2 mRNA expression in the database. Affymetrix IDs for STAT2: 225636_at. STAT2 mRNA level showed a null association with OS amongst all the ovarian cancer patients, HR =1.00 (0.80–1.26), P=0.97 (Figure 2A), serous ovarian cancer patients, HR =1.04 (0.81–1.34), P=0.74 (Figure 2B), as well as endometrioid ovarian cancer patients, HR =2.92 (0.30–28.15), P=0.33 (Figure 2C).

Figure 3 showed the prognostic value of STAT3 in the database. Affymetrix IDs for STAT3: 225289_at. Increased STAT3 mRNA expression had no effect on OS for all ovarian cancer patients, HR =0.85 (0.68–1.06), P=0.14 (Figure
Figure 2. The prognostic value of STAT2 expression in ovarian cancer.
The prognostic value of STAT2 expression in www.kmplot.com. Affymetrix ID for STAT2: 225636.at. OS curves are plotted for (A) all the patients (n=580), (B) serous cancer patients (n=453), and (C) endometrioid cancer patients (n=29).

Figure 3. The prognostic value of STAT3 expression in ovarian cancer.
The prognostic value of STAT3 expression in www.kmplot.com. Affymetrix ID for STAT3: 225289.at. OS curves are plotted for (A) all the patients (n=580), (B) serous cancer patients (n=453), and (C) endometrioid cancer patients (n=29).

3A), as well as serous cancer patients, HR =0.85 (0.66–1.09), P=0.2 (Figure 3B). However, STAT3 mRNA expression predicted a better OS in 29 endometrioid cancer patients, HR =0 (0–Inf), P=0.025 (Figure 3C).

Figure 4 showed the prognostic value of STAT4 in the database. Affymetrix IDs for STAT4: 206118.at. Overexpression of STAT4 mRNA was significantly related to a favorable OS for all the ovarian cancer patients, HR =0.81 (0.70–0.92), P=0.0015 (Figure 4A), serous cancer patients, HR =0.78 (0.65–0.93), P=0.0054 (Figure 4B). However, STAT4 mRNA expression was uncorrelated with OS in endometrioid cancer patients, HR =2.33 (0.39–13.97), P=0.34 (Figure 4C).

Figures 5 and 6 presented the prognostic significance of STAT5a and STAT5b, respectively. Affymetrix IDs were as following: 203010.at (STAT5a) and 212549.at (STAT5b). Both elevated STAT5a and STAT5b mRNA expression are associated with a favorable OS for all the ovarian cancer patients (STAT5a: P=0.036, Figure 5A; STAT5b: P=0.028, Figure 6A). Nevertheless, with regard to serous ovarian cancer patients and endometrioid ovarian cancer patients, there was no significant difference in HR estimates between study strata (Figure 5 B,5C and Figure 6B,6C).

Finally, we investigated the prognostic significance of STAT6 mRNA expression in the database. Affymetrix IDs for STAT6: 201331_s.at. High levels of STAT6 mRNA were significantly correlated to a favorable OS for all the ovarian cancer patients, HR =0.79 (0.69–0.9), P=0.00062 (Figure 7A). Nevertheless, STAT6 showed no effect on OS either amongst serous cancer patients, HR =0.87 (0.74–1.02), P=0.082 (Figure 7B) or endometrioid cancer patients, HR =3.57 (0.6–21.37), P=0.14(Figure 7C).
Figure 4. The prognostic value of STAT4 expression in ovarian cancer.
The prognostic value of STAT4 expression in www.kmplot.com. Affymetrix ID for STAT4: 206118_at. OS curves are plotted for (A) all the patients (n=1582), (B) serous cancer patients (n=1138), and (C) endometrioid cancer patients (n=36).

Figure 5. The prognostic value of STAT5a expression in ovarian cancer.
The prognostic value of STAT5a expression in www.kmplot.com. Affymetrix ID for STAT5a: 203010_at. OS curves are plotted for (A) all the patients (n=1582), (B) serous cancer patients (n=1138), and (C) endometrioid cancer patients (n=36).

Figure 6. The prognostic value of STAT5b expression in ovarian cancer.
The prognostic value of STAT5b expression in www.kmplot.com. Affymetrix ID for STAT5b: 212549_at. OS curves are plotted for (A) all the patients (n=1582), (B) serous cancer patients (n=1138), and (C) endometrioid cancer patients (n=36).
Figure 7. The prognostic value of STAT6 expression in ovarian cancer.

The prognostic value of STAT6 expression in www.kmplot.com. Affymetrix ID for STAT6: 201331_s_at. OS curves are plotted for (A) all the patients (n=1582), (B) serous cancer patients (n=1138), and (C) endometrioid cancer patients (n=36).

Table 1 Correlation of STAT gene expression level with OS in different pathological grades in ovarian cancer patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAT</th>
<th>Pathological grade</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.19 (0.84–5.76)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>1.32 (0.96–1.83)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>0.73 (0.61–0.87)</td>
<td>0.00039*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.91 (0.59–6.16)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1.33 (0.83–2.14)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>1 (0.76–1.31)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.67 (0.22–2.02)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1.03 (0.65–1.63)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>0.75 (0.57–0.99)</td>
<td>0.039*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.23 (0.85–5.89)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.76 (0.53–1.07)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>0.73 (0.62–0.87)</td>
<td>0.00044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT5a</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.05 (0.67–6.25)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.79 (0.58–1.08)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>0.88 (0.74–1.04)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT5b</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.66 (0.59–4.7)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.81 (0.59–1.1)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>0.84 (0.69–1.03)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT6</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.39 (1.82–22.44)</td>
<td>0.00094*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.8 (0.57–1.13)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>0.74 (0.6–0.9)</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05.

To further explore the correlation of individual STAT with other clinicopathological features, we investigated the correlation with pathological grades (Table 1), clinical stages (Table 2), TP53 mutation (Table 3) of ovarian cancer patients. As shown in Table 1, high expression of STAT1, STAT3, STAT4, and STAT6 mRNA were correlated to a better OS in grade III ovarian cancer patients, but not in grade I or II ovarian cancer patients (Table 1).

With regard to clinical stages, while STAT5a, STAT5b, and STAT6 were related to a positive OS both in stages I/II and III/IV ovarian cancer patients, STAT1 and STAT4 were correlated to a favorable OS in stages III and IV ovarian cancer patients (Table 2).

We further investigated association between individual STATs and prognosis according to the TP53 status, STAT1, STAT3, and STAT6 indicated an improved OS in TP53 mutated ovarian cancer patients (Table 3).
Table 2 Correlation of STAT gene expression level with OS in different clinical stage ovarian cancer patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAT</th>
<th>Clinical stages</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>2.53 (0.76–8.46)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>0.82 (0.7–0.96)</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT2</td>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.65 (0.22–1.95)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>0.97 (0.75–1.25)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT3</td>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1.1 (0.39–3.17)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>0.89 (0.69–1.15)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT4</td>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.49 (0.22–1.1)</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>0.71 (0.6–0.83)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT5a</td>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.33 (0.15–0.73)</td>
<td>0.0038*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>0.75 (0.63–0.9)</td>
<td>0.0014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT5b</td>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.42 (0.17–1)</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>0.78 (0.67–0.92)</td>
<td>0.0024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT6</td>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.36 (0.16–0.8)</td>
<td>0.0087*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>0.76 (0.63–0.91)</td>
<td>0.0028*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05.

Table 3 Correlation of STAT genes expression level with OS in ovarian cancer patients with different TP53 mutation status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAT</th>
<th>TP53 mutation</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.67 (0.37–1.24)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>0.73 (0.56–0.94)</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.84 (0.48–1.47)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.41 (0.23–0.73)</td>
<td>0.0018*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.61 (0.33–1.12)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>0.87 (0.68–1.12)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT5a</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.82 (0.96–3.46)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>1.21 (0.92–1.59)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT5b</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.75 (0.94–3.24)</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>1.27 (0.98–1.65)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.56 (0.8–3.02)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>1.45 (1.07–1.97)</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05.

Discussion

In our present study, we comprehensively investigated the prognostic value of seven STAT members in ovarian cancer patients by using the KM plotter database. Amongst the seven genes, only STAT2 and STAT3 showed no effect on the OS of ovarian cancers, i.e. high mRNA expression of STAT1, STAT4, STAT5a, STAT5b, and STAT6 was correlated to a better OS for ovarian cancer patients, especially the high level of STAT1 and STAT4 was significantly related to a favorable OS for serous ovarian cancer patients.

A number of studies have reported the relationship between STAT1 expression and the prognosis in various types of cancers; however, the results are controversial. Increased STAT1 expression and high STAT1 activation (p-STAT1 protein levels) were related to a favorable prognosis in colorectal carcinoma [10,11], oral squamous cell carcinoma [12], as well as in breast cancer [13]. In addition, Sun et al. [14] observed that overexpression of STAT1 was inversely related to malignant behaviors (lymph node metastasis, tumor dedifferentiation, advanced stage) of pancreatic cancer. And they believed that the silencing of STAT1 expression correlated with poor patient survival and performance [14]. But so far, studies about STAT1 in ovarian cancer are limited. Our results showed that high expression of STAT1 mRNA was correlated to a better OS for all the ovarian cancer patients, particularly for serous cancer patients. This result is in-line with previous result. In high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSC), higher STAT1 expression correlated with increased progression-free survival and predicted better prognosis [23]. In addition, we observed that high mRNA expression of STAT1 indicated a better OS in grade III and stage III/IV ovarian cancer patients, but not in...
grade I/II and stage I/II ovarian cancer patients, suggesting that STAT1 is a favorable prognostic marker for ovarian cancer patients, especially for late stage and poor differentiation serous ovarian patients.

STAT2 is unique in the STAT family because it was activated only by interferon [30]. However, little is known about the function of STAT2 in malignancies. In the present study, we found that STAT2 mRNA levels had no effect on the prognosis of ovarian cancer patients.

STAT3, which can be triggered by cytokines and growth factors [31,32], was constitutively activated in some types of cancer [10,15,16]. It was not only involved in cancer development and progression but also contributed to their survival. STAT3 was overexpressed in colorectal carcinoma and their overexpression contributed to an increase in median OS by approximately 30 months [10]. Grabner et al. [33] detected STAT3 may act as a tumor suppressor through STAT3-NF-κB-IL-8 axis in KRAS mutant lung adenocarcinoma and a molecular marker with favorable prognosis value. In the same line of evidence, it was shown that p-STAT3 was reported as a favorable prognosis marker in breast cancer [15], head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [16], and leiomyosarcoma [34]. Amongst the STAT family, STAT3 is the most studied STAT in ovarian cancer. Previous studies have demonstrated that p-STAT3 was associated with poor survival in ovarian cancer [24,25]. Rosen et al. [24] detected that the activation and translocation of p-STAT3 to the nucleus were frequent events in ovarian carcinoma, which were associated with a poor prognosis. Yang et al. [25] reported that increased p-STAT3 expression in omental tissue was associated with poor survival amongst patients with high-grade epithelial ovarian cancer. Intriguingly, our study shows a null association between STAT3 mRNA expression and OS of ovarian cancer patients. This can be attributed to different study design, detection method (while previous studies measured protein levels, our study was involved in mRNA levels) [24,25], specimens (Yang et al. [25] using omental tissue), sample size, and cutoff. Therefore, we suggest that the mRNA level of STAT3 in ovarian tissues shows no effect on OS of ovarian cancers.

STAT4 is one of the STAT family members that specifically mediates IL-12 signaling, affecting a wide range of immune cell physiology [35]. Similar to STAT2, the study about STAT4 in malignancies is limited. Wang et al. [17] demonstrated that STAT4 expression is an independent marker of favorable prognosis and may act as a tumor suppressor in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report investigating the relationship between STAT4 and prognosis of ovarian cancer. In this report, our results show that higher mRNA levels of STAT4 are significantly correlated to a better OS for all the ovarian cancer patients, especially serous cancer patients. Furthermore, we found that STAT4 mRNA levels predicted an improved OS in grade III and stage III/IV but not grade I/II and stage I/II ovarian cancer patients, suggesting that this gene is a favorable prognosis indicator especially for late stage and poor differentiation in ovarian cancer patients.

Compared with other STATs, STAT5 consists of two highly homologous isoforms, STAT5a and STAT5b [4]. Although the prognostic role of STAT5 genes (STAT5a and STAT5b) varied in different types of cancers, the majority of studies reported that STAT5 genes predicted a favorable clinical outcome in malignancies. Chen et al. [18] reported that constitutive STAT5 activation was associated with a better survival in cervical carcinoma patients who have accepted radiation therapy. Overexpression of STAT5 in lung cancer was reported to be a positive prognostic marker for patients treated with surgery [19]. Similar results were observed in human breast cancer, i.e. overexpression and overactivation of STAT5 indicated a favorable prognosis of breast cancer [20-22]. Our current results show that high mRNA expression of STAT5a and STAT5b related to an improved OS of ovarian cancer, which is consistent with only previous study to explore the impact of STAT5 on the prognosis of ovarian cancer patients [26].

STAT6 has a dual role as signaling molecule and transcription factor [36]. It is tightly connected to IL-4 and IL-13 signaling, and plays a key role in TH2 polarization of the immune system [36]. The study about the prognostic value of STAT6 expression in malignancies is limited. So far, the association between STAT6 and cancer patients’ clinical outcomes was only investigated in colorectal cancer. Wang et al. [37] examined 119 colorectal cancer patients by immunohistochemistry and found patients with STAT6-positive expression had lower survival rates than those with STAT6-negative expression. In the present study, we found that high mRNA expression of STAT6 was correlated to a better OS for all the ovarian cancer patients, suggesting STAT6 has different prognostic significance across cancer types. Furthermore, high mRNA expression of STAT6 was correlated to a better OS in grade III ovarian cancer patients, but not in grade I or II ovarian cancer patients, implying that STAT6 may be a favorable prognostic indicator especially for poor differentiation ovarian cancer.

Interestingly, when we further explored the prognostic roles of individual STATs in TP53 mutation, our data shows that STAT1, STAT3, and STAT6’s high mRNA expression indicated a better OS in TP53 mutated, but not in TP53 wild-type ovarian cancer patients. These observations indicate that STATs family may be favorable prognosis indicators for TP53 mutated ovarian cancer patients. Considering the small sample size of TP53 wild-type ovarian cancer patients, we can not determine the prognostic value of STATs family in these subtype ovarian cancer, further study with large sample size are needed.
Conclusion

In summary, our results show that high mRNA expression of all the individual STATs except STAT2 and STAT3 are correlated to a better OS for all the ovarian cancer patients, especially the high level of STAT1 and STAT4 are significantly related to a favorable OS for serous ovarian cancer patients. And we also found that these genes indicated a favorable prognosis especially for late stage, poor differentiation, and TP53 mutated ovarian cancer patients. These results indicate that STATs family plays a significantly prognostic role in ovarian carcinoma and individual STATs, except STAT2 and STAT3, and may act as a favorable prognostic marker in ovarian cancer. Although our data were statistically significant, the relationship between individual STATs expression and the prognosis of ovarian cancer needs further exploration. Our further studies will validate these results at the in situ protein expression level in human ovarian cancer samples and explore the clinical application of STAT family in ovarian cancer treatment.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Key Lab of Wenzhou city-Gynecological Oncology [grant number 2016].

Author contribution

H.Z. and X.Z. conceived and designed the research. S.L., M.Z., and Q.S. performed the experiments and analyzed the data. S.L. and B.S. wrote the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Abbreviations

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; IL, interleukin; KM plotter, Kaplan–Meier plotter; KRAS, Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene; OS, overall survival; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; TP53, tumor protein p53; TH2, T helper cells 2.

References

34 Setsu, N., Kohashi, K., Endo, M., Yamamoto, H., Tamiya, S., Takahashi, Y. et al. (2013) Phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 in soft tissue leiomyosarcoma is associated with a better prognosis. *Int. J. Cancer* 132, 109–115